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Introduction

• Value chains are important aspects of the trade and globalization today

• Much of this move towards value chains have been driven by the fall in trade barriers

Aim of Study:

• Provide a **quantitative analysis** of APEC’s Value Chain Resilience

• Identify **APEC’s performance** and **room for improvement**
What is Value Chain Resilience?

- Rice and Caniato define it as the ability to ‘respond to unexpected disruption and restore normal supply network operations’

- Ponomarov and Holcomb define it as “the adaptive capability of the supply chains to prepare for unexpected events, respond to disruption, and recover from them by maintaining continuity of operations at the desired level of connectedness and control over structure and function”

- Other definitions have also included aspects such as the need to predict risks and minimize impact

“The inverse of risk: the range of factors that determines an economy’s ability to respond to risks and limit their economic and social impacts”
## Introduction

### Systematic Risks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What are these risks?</th>
<th>Impact of these risks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economy-wide risks that often are not able to be addressed through firm level risk mitigation strategies</td>
<td>A local event may have many transmission channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not within the control of firms</td>
<td>Considering that global value chains are increasingly integrated and connected, small events can easily become large regional or even global events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often linked with unexpected events (e.g COVID-19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overview of Methodology

- **Logistics and Infrastructure Risk**: Measures that limit the economic and social disruptions that can occur to supply chain processes when the markets or actors that connect supply chain operators to each other do not perform as expected.

- **Market Risk**: Measures that limit the economic and social effects of economic fluctuations that disrupt prices, output or other economic fundamentals.

- **Natural Disaster Risk**: Measures that limit the economic and social consequence of the occurrence of a natural disaster.

- **Political Risk**: Measures that limit the economic and social effects of the possibility that economic activity may be impeded by the occurrence of political or violent conflicts inside or outside the economy.

- **Regulatory and Policy Risk**: Measures that limit the economic and social effects of unexpected changes in regulatory stance, or inconsistency in enforcement, which would otherwise increase business uncertainty, and thus the transaction costs associated with value chain processes.
APEC’s Performance: Overall Index

- APEC’s performance has been varied ranging from lows of 0.15 to highs of 0.77
- Hong Kong, China amongst best performers
- APEC region performed moderately well but lags EU and the OECD

Note: Scores have been normalised in this index where 0 is the lowest possible score and 1 is the highest.
APEC’s Performance: Overall Takeaways

- Logistics and infrastructure risk
- Regulatory and policy risk
- Market risk
- Political risk
- Natural disaster risk
APEC’s Performance by Pillar

Note: Scores have been normalised in this index where 0 is the lowest possible score and 1 is the highest.
APEC’s Performance: Overall Takeaways

1. Performance in the APEC region is varied, there are often economies at both ends of the spectrum.

2. APEC performance similar or slightly lags the G20 and has registered high scores within strength against regulatory and policy risk and political risk.

3. COVID-19 has affected supply chains similarly to that of natural disasters without damaging the physical infrastructure. It is disrupting both demand and supply.
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Sample Demographics: How many employees does your business currently employ?
How much revenue did your business earn in the last financial year (USD)?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APEC Member</th>
<th>SME</th>
<th>Large Enterprise</th>
<th>Government/ Industry Org</th>
<th>Do Not Participate</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunei Darussalam</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong, China</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of Korea</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papua New Guinea</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Taipei</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States of America</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viet Nam</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>APEC Total</strong></td>
<td>416</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Response Total</strong></td>
<td>472</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>807</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Which of the following most accurately describes your international business model?

- Incorporated entity: 50.00%
- Other: 10.00%
- Subsidiary: 20.00%
- Sales office: 30.00%
- Overseas branch: 40.00%
- Offshore services operation: 0.00%
- Joint Venture: 0.00%
- Offshore manufacturing operation: 0.00%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>20.50%</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional, scientific and technical activities</td>
<td>10.08%</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, forestry and fishing</td>
<td>9.92%</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacture-related services</td>
<td>6.05%</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>5.21%</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information and communication</td>
<td>5.55%</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles</td>
<td>5.04%</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>4.54%</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining and quarrying</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and storage</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative and support service activities</td>
<td>2.69%</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other service activities</td>
<td>3.03%</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts, entertainment and recreation</td>
<td>2.35%</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human health and social work activities</td>
<td>2.02%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment; water supply; sewerage, waste management and remediation</td>
<td>2.18%</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>14.04%</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is the head of your business a woman?
What percentage of senior managers are women?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>23.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>76.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Majority of international business activity

A significant portion international business activity (almost 80%) involves exporting and importing, R&D and IP exchange, and licensing arrangements...

... which are susceptible to restrictions on global trade flows...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exporting goods overseas</td>
<td>28.01%</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importing goods from overseas</td>
<td>16.88%</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exporting services overseas</td>
<td>16.70%</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development overseas</td>
<td>6.49%</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensing your intellectual property to overseas</td>
<td>5.75%</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing products or parts of products overseas through licensing arrangements</td>
<td>4.27%</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary production, extracting and mining</td>
<td>3.90%</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importing services from overseas</td>
<td>3.71%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing products or parts of products on the basis of licenses obtained from overseas</td>
<td>2.41%</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Making outward investments</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving inward investments</td>
<td>1.30%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employing temporary skilled labour from overseas</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5.94%</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How best would you describe your business’ position in global supply chains?

- Provide primary goods and primary services into global supply chains to then reach end consumers
- Add value to existing goods or services to then reach end consumers
- Provide goods or services into global supply chains to then reach end consumers
- Don’t know
To how many large businesses (>\$250M) would you estimate that your business sells its goods and services as inputs into their global supply chains?
To how many other SMEs would you estimate that your business sells its goods and services as inputs into their global supply chains?
From how many large businesses does your business receive goods and services as inputs into your global supply chains?
From how many SMEs does your business receive goods and services as inputs into your global supply chains?
When is your business planning to implement these changes on offshore manufacturing operations?

![Bar chart showing the percentage of businesses planning to implement changes at different time intervals.]

- **Within a year**: 30%
- **Already done**: 20%
- **Within six months**: 15%
- **Within two years**: 20%
- **Not sure**: 10%
- **Within three years**: 5%
- **Immediately**: 0%
- **Other**: 0%
What is/are the main source(s) of the challenge(s) when conducting business in global supply chains?

- Government organisations and regulations: 30%
- SME clients (which may have resource restrictions): 25%
- Large businesses (which may have complex requirements): 20%
- Other organisations (such as banks): 15%
- Don’t know: 10%
- Other: 5%
- Other: 0%
What are the main challenges your business has experienced when selling goods and services into global supply chains before the COVID-19 pandemic?

Payment delays have been a big problem, even before COVID.... Is this an opportunity for Supply Chain Financing to improve working capital in SME’s?

Tariff and trade issues (customs, standards, delivery issues, etc.) remain high on the list of challenges encountered by small business.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payment issues</td>
<td>10.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local regulations</td>
<td>9.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local language, culture and business practices</td>
<td>8.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery delays</td>
<td>8.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards and conformance requirements</td>
<td>8.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customs costs/delays</td>
<td>6.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial considerations (e.g. customer financial health, currency fluctuations)</td>
<td>6.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tariffs, quotas and import duties</td>
<td>6.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to finance (e.g. insurance, loan)</td>
<td>5.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection of intellectual property rights</td>
<td>3.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geopolitical factors</td>
<td>3.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication delays</td>
<td>3.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex rules of origin requirements</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensing</td>
<td>2.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of the above</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex labelling requirements</td>
<td>1.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certifications of origin costs</td>
<td>1.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology disparities</td>
<td>1.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restriction on labour mobility</td>
<td>1.43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What are the main risks your business has experienced when selling goods and services into global supply chains before the COVID-19 pandemic?

### Major Risks Before COVID

- **Financial risk** (supplier financial stability, payment terms, etc.)
- Transportation/delivery risk (lead times, frequency, cost, etc.)
- Compliance risk (labelling, customs requirements, rules of origin)
- Quality and capacity risk
- Geopolitical risk (tariffs, protectionism, nationalisation, etc.)
- Contract management risk
- Cultural risk (language barriers, negotiation, etc.)
- Legal risk (intellectual property, etc.)
- Customer capability risk (innovation, optimisation, etc.)
- Reputational risk (ethical behaviour, transparency, etc.)
- Environmental risks (weather, natural disasters, etc.)
- Security risk (cyber security, digital supplier networks, etc.)
- Other
- Don’t know
Does your business use any recognised industry, private or international certifications or standards in relation to supply chain management?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>50.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>49.45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples: ISO 9001:2015; ISO 34101-3:2019; ISO 14001:2015; ASCM-SCOR Enterprise Certification; Qualsys EQMS; Starbucks Ethical Sourcing: Coffee; McDonald's Sustainable Sourcing; Nestlé Commitment to Child Labour in Agriculture; FairTrade Certification
What main disruptions has your business experienced while selling goods and services in global supply chains as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic?

![Business Disruptions Chart]

- Reduced customer demand
- Delivery delays/failure
- Customer insolvency/cash flow issues
- Cost of logistics
- Air freight capacity
- Production facility closures
- Other
- Import and export restrictions
- Communication delays/failure
- Customs clearance issues
- Access to raw materials
- Labour shortages
- None of the above
- Skills shortages
- Reduced extended supplier network visibility (tier 2+)
- Rail and road capacity
Is your business planning to localise offshore manufacturing (if any) or diversify sources of supply in its global supply chain as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic?
When is your business planning to implement these changes on offshore manufacturing operations?

When Will You Localize?

- Within a year: 30%
- Already done: 20%
- Within six months: 15%
- Within two years: 15%
- Not sure: 10%
- Within three years: 5%
- Immediately: 5%
- Other: 0%
- Not sure: 0%

0% 5% 10% 15% 20% 25% 30% 35%
Is your business planning to localise offshore services (if any) or diversify sources of supply of services in its global supply chain as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic?

Service Localization?

- No changes planned
- Not applicable
- Planning to diversify sources of input services
- Not sure
- Planning to localise offshore services and planning to diversify sources of input services
- Planning to localise offshore services

Percentage Distribution: 0% 5% 10% 15% 20% 25% 30% 35% 40% 45%
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E-Commerce and Digital Trade

Typically, digital trade and the digital economy means goods and services as well as data and supporting policies.

In trade agreements, e-commerce remains the “umbrella” term.

When working on e-commerce trade, important to remember *not* just about goods.

Can also include broad range of services (plus telecoms and financial services), investment, data rules and regulations, intellectual property rights adjustments for digital, standards related to digital, online consumer protection, MSMEs, development, capacity building, and so forth.

Digital trade is a cross-cutting or horizontal topic and needs to be effectively managed.

Key goal: to allow the “micromultinational” to continue to find markets, materials, suppliers and customers anywhere.
Firms that are online in whole or part have outperformed companies that remain entirely offline.

Does *not* mean that the future eliminates offline engagements, shops, offices...

But encouraging more online trade requires supportive policy infrastructure to avoid strangling firms in complexity and costs.

Government need not do it all: focus on policy settings that encourage and foster digital trade.

APEC has had long history of encouraging policy processes on different aspects of digital trade and e-commerce.

Need to fast-forward these sessions from theoretical to practical and from discussion to implementation.
Key Issues Include

- Paperless trading whenever possible
- Electronic signatures and electronic authorization
- Renewed commitments to lower logistics costs
  - Focus on small size, small value shipments
- Sorting out consistency in electronic payments and settlement
- Online consumer protection
- Minimizing barriers to cross-border flows of data
- Adequately protect personal information
- Location of computing service facilities language to allow cloud-services delivery and future applications like Internet of Things (IoT), AI, and Big Data

Requires regular engagement with stakeholders.
Asian Trade Centre

The Asian Trade Centre is the premier regional thought leader, advocate and educator for trade

Strategic, creative thinking for policy and regulatory challenges
Solutions for vexing trade problems
Practical advice and suggestions for improving bottom line performance
Sensible input to craft modern trade agendas

Deborah Elms
Executive Director
Asian Trade Centre
Singapore

www.asiantradecentre.org

The information, data and graphics provided by the Asian Trade Centre is for advocacy purposes. While we endeavor to provide the best quality information, we make no warranties with respect to the use of the information which is voluntary. The information provided in this document does not constitute investment or legal advice. It should not be used, relied upon, or treated as a substitute for specific professional investment or legal advice.
Building Resilient Supply Chains in APEC: Agility in Crisis

Patrik Jonasson, Director Public Policy GS1
28 October 2020
Enabling Digital Readiness to Trade Goods

GS1 manages the system of globally unique identifiers used by companies to identify products (and shipments) and manage product data in a standardised way.
Addressing the issues of all stakeholders
Enabling Digital Readiness to Trade Goods

The identification code already used by industry for listing on marketplaces is increasingly being recognized as a source of data for customs, for import supervision and tariff verification, as well as easy cross-border returns.

• Leverage identification standards (already widely used by industry) in customs environment

Harmonized standards/regional agreed framework to facilitate cross-border eCommerce needs to be put in place; harmonized customs requirements makes multiple-market access easier for SMEs.

• APEC Governments need to start better leverage existing international standards with integration of Global Data Standards like GS1/ISO standards for parcel/shipment identification.
Our Vision: Common ID and label end-to-end (including returns) - used by ALL to access the information needed.
Patrik Jonasson
Patrik.Jonasson@gs1.org
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Services and Supply Chain Disruption

Simon Lacey
University of Adelaide
The Good | The Bad | and the (mostly) Indifferent

The Good: Crisis as Catalyst
- Education
- Call centers
- Video-conferencing providers
- Online content management or productivity platforms

The Bad: Crisis as Disaster
- Travel
- Retail
- Hospitality
- Beauty salons

The Indifferent: Business as Usual
- Freight/Logistics
- Government
- Medical (other than ERs)
- Finance
- E-commerce
Lessons Learned

Technology and connectivity disparities matter.
Governments must be able to respond quickly.

Infrastructure matters.
Keeping businesses alive matters for the recovery.

Borders are best kept open.
Tracking and tracing technologies already exist and are broadly used.
Resilience and Robustness | The Services Angle

01. Diversify customer base
02. Diversify modes of delivery
03. Diversify suppliers
04. Build our redundancies
Thank you for listening

simon.lacey@adelaide.edu.au
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Supply chains and the new normal

What may a post-COVID or living-with-COVID world look like?

Alberto Posso                                Professor
of Economics
Trade disruption affects final and intermediate goods

- Consumers around the world have been impacted by trade disruptions:
  - Shortages of field workers brought on by lockdowns and a move towards protectionism – tariffs and export bans – means that some supermarket shelves in some economies look emptier.
  - But not all imports are final goods, some are intermediate, and this means that making things at home can also get harder.
  - Supply chains are being disrupted.
Supply chains are now governed by international trade patterns

- Modern supply chains are made up of many firms specialised in different tasks.
- Vertical integration describes the degree to which a company owns its upstream suppliers and downstream buyers.
- For most of the 20th century, vertical integration was conducted domestically.
- Since the late 1990s, it has been internationalised.
- COVID-19 disrupts these processes.
We’re all in this together: Intermediate good imports from world, 2016

Most economies import intermediate goods.

Source: World Bank, WITS
Complementary parts supply system of an automobile assembler in ASEAN

Note: the ASEAN Free Trade Area - Common Effective Preferential Tariff (AFTA-CEPT) is a cooperative arrangement among ASEAN member states to reduce intra-regional tariffs and remove non-tariff barriers.

Source: Hiratsuka (2010).
Upshot:
A slow down of imports is bad for business

- Globally, about 70% of imports are intermediate goods.
- Importing cheaper intermediate goods makes production more efficient, leading to growth.
- Disruptions to imports hurt manufacturing processes, hurting domestic production.
- Global value chains are disrupted by shutdowns, lockdowns and travel disruptions.
Economists are not fortune tellers, but...
New normal

- Vaccine
- Ineffective vaccine
- No vaccine (some effective treatments)
Scenario 1: Vaccine

- Once the vaccine is widely available, firms & economies will look to re-establish pre-crisis patterns… to an extent.
- Firms will be scared about new viruses or other factors that can disrupt supply chains.
- Firms will look toward supply chain diversification.
- Recovery may be v-shaped or __-shaped, as new production networks, infrastructure and logistics will need to be established.
- Firms will build resilience by stockpiling some goods
- Building domestic production (when costs aren’t too high):
  - In the longer run, we may see some innovation in production processes
Diversification of international supply chains

- Firms minimise risk of business disruptions.
- As firms increase their supply sources from 3 to 10 economies, more economies will be able to find new export markets and growth opportunities, aiding in their recovery.
- Supply chain diversification is governed by comparative advantage.
- Goods are produced in the most efficient locations considering costs and endowments.
Scenario 2: Ineffective vaccine

2.1 Vaccines are produced, but do not work

2.2 Vaccines are produced, but many economies don’t get access.
Scenario 2.1: Vaccines do not work

- People receive vaccines, but infection levels remain high.
- This leads to a prolonged crisis, hurting both consumer and investor confidence.
- Panic may lead to more protectionism.
- Consumer prices increase leading to goods scarcity in the short-run.
- This can result in increased need for supply chain diversification, but will depend on political will.
- In the longer run, we may see some innovation in production processes.
Scenario 2.2: Vaccines work, but some economies do not get them

- WHO is working hard to stop this from happening.
- While some economies may be hoarding vaccines, it is in their interest to ensure an equitable supply to avoid resurgent migration crises and other global political problems.
- Poorer economies get pushed out of production network opportunities.
  - Global inequalities are reinforced.
- Calls for supply chain diversification get reinforced.
  - Comparative advantage? Products are not (necessarily) produced in most efficient locations, leading to higher prices.
- In the longer run, we may see some innovation in production processes.
Scenario 3: No vaccine, but new effective treatments

- Resurgent lockdowns and shutdowns.
- ‘Bubbles’ created amongst economies undertaking better healthcare practices.
- Poorer economies get pushed out of production network opportunities.
  - Global inequalities are reinforced.
- Calls for supply chain diversification get reinforced.
  - Comparative advantage? Products are not (necessarily) produced in most efficient locations, leading to higher prices.
- In the longer run, we may see some innovation in production processes.
Innovation processes

- Evidence that the pandemic has accelerated these processes as firms want to get humans out of the supply chain.
- Implications for jobs are unclear:
  - Substitutes or complements?
  - Lower demand for workers, will lower wages. If wages get low enough, taking on infection-related risk may be OK.

What can my economy do?

- Most economies do not have the capacity to make their own vaccines.
- Until a vaccine is available, economies must remember that:
  - Continued lockdowns and shutdowns are destructive.
  - Investing in health services and practices is key.
  - Dispelling rumours, conspiracy theories and misinformation.
  - Communicate health advice properly.
  - Cracking down on corruption.
Thank you very much.
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Why digital trade facilitation?

Supply-chain disruption

Digital transformation:
cost, efficiency, integrity & trust

Economic rebuilding through trade
### Opportunity
- Continuing to do business across borders – resilience despite COVID disruption
- Reduce costs, increase efficiency and supply-chain integrity; build consumer trust
- Greater inclusion for MSMEs
- Keeping trade growing – to kickstart economic recovery

### Challenges
- Connectivity
  - Lack of access to infrastructure, skills
- Enabling environment needed:
  - Lack of acceptance of e-documents, innovative technologies (e.g. GDS)
  - Divergent standards, systems and regulations; restrictions on cross-border data flows
  - Small-parcel trade

---

**Global implementation of cross-border paperless trade**

38.6%

Source: UN Global Survey on Digital & Sustainable trade Facilitation, 2019
Case study: Global Data Standards

Pilot projects (beef, wine, durian, tequila, asparagus)

Results: improvements in supply-chain visibility; savings in hours of manual work; improved tracking; expedited port clearance processes; improved anti-counterfeiting and risk management

Challenges: lack of awareness; critical mass; infrastructure; buy-in from border agencies
Trade agreements

FTAs – e.g. CPTPP, USMCA, AANZFTA

WTO e-commerce negotiations (building on WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement)

Digital Economy Partnership Agreement (NZ, Singapore, Chile)

Digital Economy Agreement (Australia, Singapore)
Infrastructure
#1: promote an enabling and competitive infrastructure with pro-investment policies
#3: Universal broadband access

Interoperability
#2: Interoperability should be promoted
#5: Coherence/cooperation in regulatory approach including for standards incl internationally

Holistic policy
#4: Government policy frameworks should be holistic e.g. across agriculture, labour – with public/private coordination

Innovation
#6: Promoting innovation and adoption of enabling technologies and services.

Trust & security
#7: Work with stakeholders on trust and security

Data flows
#8: Free flow of data
Regulatory approach provides consumer protection.

Measurement
#9: Development of common understandings and baseline measurements for the digital economy

Inclusion
#10: Free flow of data
Regulatory approach provides consumer protection.

E-commerce
#11: Facilitate and cooperate on e-commerce & digital trade – paperless customs clearance, e-documents, digital authentication
“Digital supply chains”

➢ Paperless trading (e.g. e-certification)
➢ Digital single window
➢ E-signatures, e-authentication
➢ GDS, blockchain, AI: need **interoperability** of standards/APIs, enabling regulatory environment
➢ Other helpful elements: e-invoicing; interoperable digital identity; digital trade information portals

“We use blockchain technology and QR codes across our supply chain to enhance traceability and transparency and ensure food safety and quality.” – New Zealand goods exporter
Next steps?
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• Overall implementation of trade facilitation measures in APEC (2017)
Use cases of blockchain in trade

- What is blockchain?
Emerging Trade-Related Blockchain Applications
Caveats

• Blockchain is not a silver bullet
• Testing is underway to identify use-cases
• Not necessarily a unique solution
• Governance of the platform
• Garbage-in, garbage-out
• Interoperability of rival blockchain systems
• Laws, regulations, standards

• However, blockchain applications by private and public sector organizations are well underway to test several use-cases.
Private sector use cases

• International transport and logistics:
  • IBM and Maersk joint venture TradeLens is using blockchain technology to create an open platform for the world to participate in to improve global trade.
  • The Global Shipping Business Network (GSBN) is rolling out a blockchain system allowing gateways for parties to interact along the supply chain, across borders and together including over finance and insurance matters.
  • The Blockchain in Transport Alliance (BiTA) was formed with 500+ member companies from 25 economies to determine best practices and standards for blockchain in the transportation industry.

• Trade finance:
  • Bank of America, HSBC and Infocomm Development Authority of Singapore (IDA) are developing a blockchain to improve the letter of credit process
  • Cargill, a US commodity giant has used the Corda blockchain platform to carry out a letter of credit transaction for a shipment of soya beans from Argentina to Malaysia

• Cross-border payments:
  • SWIFT is investigating blockchain with pilot Global Payments Innovation (GPI), aimed at modernising B2B cross-border payments by making them faster and more transparent.
  • Ripple has created a blockchain-based platform for financial institutions to exchange currencies, cryptocurrencies, commodities and other tokens at little to no costs without financial intermediaries.
Public sector use-cases

- In Europe the EU Directorate-General for Taxation and Customs Union (DG TAXUD), in collaboration with International Chamber of Commerce World Chambers Federation (ICC WCF) has trialled blockchain to digitalise and verify ATA Carnet documents using smart contracts.
- Korea has tested the application of blockchain to its import/export clearance process, e-commerce and cross-border information exchange.
- Inter-American Development Bank (IADB) and customs authorities of Mexico, Peru and Costa Rica, are working with Microsoft to securely share AEO certifications.
Summary of findings

• **Traceability and Trust**: Blockchain enables goods and components to be more effectively tracked as they move through suppliers and across borders. Improving supply chain traceability and transparency improves trust and reduces fraud.

• **Paperless Information sharing**: Distributed ledgers allow multiple participants involved in a transaction – importers, exporters, logistics services providers, insurers, financiers – to access relevant data in real time for improved decision making. The digitisation of trade throughout the region is estimated to increase exports by as much as $257 billion by 2027 while reducing the time to export by up to 44 per cent.

• **Automated processing**: Smart contracts replace the need for manual processes to verify identity, check certificates, issue payments and manage workflow. Introducing automation to time-consuming processes such as exchange rate costs, financial intermediation and coordination, and facilitating real-time trade settlements with clear transparency of ownership of goods and services, without the involvement of third parties provides significant cost reductions and time savings.

• **Secure data**: Cryptographic techniques ensure that data is securely held, and access rights carefully managed in the case of permissioned/consortium blockchains.
Recommendations:

• SCCP ought to convene a Public-Private dialogue to share best practice and consider blockchain applications to Single Window and AEO programs.

• Widescale access to digital id’s are important to overcome data authenticity issues

• To avoid a fragmented blockchain ecosystem, APEC economies should engage with international organisations – WCO, ISO, UN/CEFACT – on the development of open standards.

• APEC should anticipate the legal and regulatory policies and frameworks needed to recognise blockchain data in law; resolve cross-jurisdiction disputes; recognise smart contracts and digital currencies

• APEC should ensure access to digital infrastructure and specialised training for marginalised groups such as women, MSMEs and remote communities
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SMEs that Export...

- 4X Revenue vs non-exporting SMEs
- $1,700 more in individual monthly worker wages
- 35M Jobs could be added if the number of exporting SMEs doubled
SMEs that use the Web...

4X Growth rate vs offline SMEs

-82% Reduction in export costs

8X Job Growth rate vs SMEs who have not adopted advanced mobile technologies
Digital tools became a priority during COVID-19

- 85% of SMBs say COVID-19 made them rethink their approach to digital tools
- 72% of SMBs increased use of digital tools during COVID-19
- 48% of SMBs deployed at least one new digital tool during COVID-19

Source: Digitally Driven report
Digital SMEs estimate 2x more revenue and sales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Had e-commerce pre-COVID-19</th>
<th>Did not have e-commerce pre-COVID-19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in projected revenue</td>
<td>-12% vs. -21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in projected sales</td>
<td>-11% vs. -20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
They participate more in international trade, even during COVID.
Women-led SMEs were less digitally prepared for COVID

Compared to men-led SMEs, women-led SMEs were...

- **10%** less comfortable with digital before COVID-19
  - Project **6%** less revenue for 2020
- **15%** more likely to not be a digital frontrunner SME
- **11%** less increase in use of digital during COVID-19
  - **8%** more reported closing physical locations
- **9%** more reported temporarily closing business
- **5%** more reported reduced customer demand
Digitization of SMEs will continue after COVID-19

Interested to learn more about digital tools for their business

- Drivers: 65%
- Adopters: 58%
- Maintainers: 47%

% planning to use tools more after COVID-19

- Drivers: 66%
- Adopters: 49%
- Maintainers: 40%
Growing digital SMEs...

- Scale solutions to digital access and education
- Increase funding streams, including trade finance
- Enable SME access to low cost and free digital services
- Proactively reduce digital trade barriers and foster interoperability
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COVID-19 is a human, health, and economic crisis

- APEC-wide daily reported cases and deaths have not slowed down as of September 2020
- A deep economic contraction in 2020 due to the negative impacts of COVID-19
- Containing the pandemic is a prerequisite for economic recovery and resilience
4IR has been underway; COVID-19 can hasten it

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before the pandemic, firms adopt automation to:</th>
<th>After the pandemic, firms may speed up automation to:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lower labour costs</strong></td>
<td><strong>Reduce risks</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savings from capital investment outweigh labour costs.</td>
<td>Protection from liability to workers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Keep up with market innovation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Observe new guidelines</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4IR technologies are developing rapidly.</td>
<td>Social distancing is now required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Speed up routine tasks</strong></td>
<td><strong>Cushion financial impact</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automation of repetitive processes found in blue- and white-collar jobs.</td>
<td>Automation as a cost-saving measure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COVID-19 and 4IR impacts are not equal
Even well-meaning policies can have unintended consequences

Low interest rates intended to encourage bank lending and keep firms operating could also encourage them to invest in automation.

Immunity passports could constrain labour supply and increase uncertainty.

Support packages for MSMEs could encourage business’ adoption of digital solutions.

Restrictions on labour mobility can discourage the hiring of workers.
Need to promote innovation while addressing social impacts

- **Expand social safety nets**
  - Protect workers’ livelihoods and ensure their basic needs are met.

- **Monitor automation trends**
  - Work closely with the private sector for a deeper analysis of 4IR issues.

- **Support upskilling and retraining**
  - Adapt skills training programmes to the needs of the post-pandemic digital economy.

- **Humanise 4IR ecosystems**
  - Put people first in digital economy policy.
Find out more
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COVID-19, 4IR and the Future of Work

APEC Regional Trends Analysis
https://www.apec.org/Publications?Category=&Keyword=APEC+Regional+Trends+Analysis
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- APEC – Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation
SMEs vary in terms of size and revenue between them and across regions. Yet, overall, SMEs find more obstacles to participate fully in global supply chains.

Do the current transformations in supply chains under the COVID-19 pandemic offer new opportunities for SMEs in global supply chains? If yes, how can they better seize those opportunities?
What is “agility” and how can SMEs use it in their favour?
What are some of the best practices from which SMEs can learn from other more agile and resilient SMEs during the COVID-19 pandemic?

What makes an SME resilient and agile?
How can governments and industry organizations support SMEs from a policy and commercial point of view to successfully participate in global supply chains, while building resilience and agility?